

ARTICLE

Discourse in Gender Studies: How Language Shapes Gender Narratives?

Khairul Azmy¹, Fadhlur Rahman² , Muntasir Muntasir³

¹Politeknik Kutaraja Banda Aceh, Aceh, Indonesia

²Institut Agama Islam Negeri Lhokseumawe, Aceh, Indonesia

³Universitas Syiah Kuala, Aceh, Indonesia

ABSTRACT ENGLISH:

This study explores the significance of discourse in the field of gender studies, with a specific emphasis on the ways in which language shapes and impacts perceptions of gender. The study highlights the influence of power dynamics within language, illustrating how communication strengthens conventional gender norms and prejudices. However, the substantial amount of research in this field posed difficulties in attaining a thorough understanding. Although numerous studies specifically position their work within the framework of discourse analysis, the various methodologies employed hinder a cohesive function of discourse analysis as a means of examining language and gender. This paper offers a detailed analysis of relevant theoretical frameworks, findings and discussions to gain a comprehensive understanding of how language and gender narratives interact. The study then expanded on current knowledge of gender, identity, and discourse by actively analysing and engaging with long-standing and continuing debates. The findings emphasize the possibility of using discourse to confront and change deeply embedded gender biases, promoting an equal depiction of genders through the use of language.

ABSTRACT INDONESIAN:

Artikel ini mengkaji pentingnya memahami wacana dalam studi gender. Penelitian ini memberi perhatian khusus pada cara bahasa dapat membentuk dan mempengaruhi persepsi gender. Studi ini menunjukkan pengaruh dinamika kekuasaan dalam bahasa, yang menunjukkan bagaimana wacana dapat menunjukkan dan memperkuat norma dan prasangka gender yang ada. Banyak penelitian menggunakan analisis wacana sebagai dasar kajian dinamika bahasa dan gender. Namun, berbagai pendekatan yang digunakan membuatnya tidak efektif. Penelitian ini menganalisis relevan kerangka teori untuk mendapatkan pemahaman yang lebih baik tentang bagaimana bahasa dan persepsi gender berinteraksi satu sama lain. Dengan secara aktif menganalisis dan berpartisipasi dalam perdebatan yang telah berlangsung lama dan berkelanjutan, penelitian ini meneliti dan meningkatkan pengetahuan saat ini tentang gender, identitas, dan wacana. Temuan artikel ini menekankan bahwa pendekatan wacana dapat digunakan untuk menghadapi dan mengubah bias gender yang kuat, sehingga dapat mendorong penggambaran gender yang lebih setara dan adil melalui penggunaan bahasa.

Introduction

In the past few decades, there has been substantial growth in the discipline of language and gender. The initial emphasis on the language disparities between males and females has evolved into a more complex understanding of the ways in which communication shapes and influences gender perspectives. Discourse Analysis and its relationship with gender has become increasingly prominent in the field of language and gender studies (Bucholtz & Miles-Hercules, 2021). Conducting a comprehensive review is a challenging endeavour due to the abundance of research in this discipline. Although certain studies explicitly incorporate discourse analysis into their frameworks, the diverse array of methodologies employed presents a challenge in presenting a cohesive overview of discourse analysis as a tool for gender and language research. In this context, discourse refers to the analysis employed to shape and transmit meaning through the use of language in writings and conversations. Discourse analysis is employed by researchers to understand the impact of verbal activities on and the management of social conceptions, including gender. Consequently, the investigation of language and gender has transformed into the investigation of discourse and gender.

Language, Gender, and Discourse: A Historical Evolution

Simone de Beauvoir, a prominent feminist philosopher and writer, significantly advanced the comprehension of gender with her seminal work "The Second Sex," which was released in 1949. While the author did not directly address language in her work, her perspectives on gender offer a substantial theoretical foundation for examining the relationship between language and gender (de Beauvoir, 2023). De Beauvoir's perspective on language illustrates how our speech and language usage contribute to the formation of gender identity and the reinforcement of established gender conventions (Crawford, 2013). From the perspective influenced by de Beauvoir, language can be regarded as a primary instrument employed by patriarchal society to establish and sustain conventional gender norms. For instance, societal norms frequently shape and reinforce gender hierarchies by influencing the manner in which women and men are instructed to communicate and conduct themselves. The utilization of gendered language, as examined by Robin Lakoff, might be perceived as an outcome of the process of socialization elucidated by de Beauvoir. De Beauvoir further condemns the tendency in patriarchal language to diminish women to the status of "Other," so perpetuating and reinforcing their subordinate role in society (Hutchings, 2009). This pertains to discourse analysis within the field of language and gender studies, which primarily examines how language and public discourse both reflect and perpetuate subordinate gender roles.

Meanwhile, Lakoff contends that the language employed by women reflects and perpetuates their subordinate status within a patriarchal culture (Lakoff, 1973). She coined the term "women's language" to encompass linguistic features such as intensifiers (e.g., "so," "very"), hedges (e.g., "sort of," "kind of"), tag questions (e.g., "It's nice, isn't it?"), and the use of more courteous or genuine terms. According to her perspective, this signifies the lack of control and ambiguity linked to conventional gender norms for women. Lakoff's influential works serve as the starting point for feminist linguistic studies and stimulate further research

on the linguistic disparities between genders (Svendsen, 2019), as well as the ways in which language echoes and strengthens established social systems. Lakoff also denounced linguistic standards that exhibit bias against women and urged for societal transformation through modifications in language usage (Lakoff, 2003). Despite facing criticism and discussion, this work continues to serve as a fundamental basis in the field of sociolinguistics and gender studies, enabling further exploration of language and power through more detailed and intricate study.

Further, Penelope Eckert, a well-known sociolinguist who conducts rigorous seminal study, "Gender and Sociolinguistic Variation" (1989), investigates the complex relationship between gender, age, class, and social networks in shaping linguistic patterns (Eckert, 2014; Eckert, 2017). She argued every location has a local language as well as a suburban language. It appears that it is more difficult to determine where someone is from if their language is similar to standard language, regardless of gender. The use of the standard and vernacular forms "walkin'" and "walking," as well as "talkin'" and "talking," suggests that women are more conservative and use the standard forms walking and talking, whereas men appear to employ the non-standard vernacular forms. Even when it comes to language negation, it appears that men are more inclined to use double negatives, such as "I didn't do nothing". We cannot ignore these data and instances since they imply that conservatism for women over males is a reasonable argument, but we cannot totally attribute them to one or the other gender. Eckert's perspective emphasizes the variation and reliance on individual conditions in the use of gendered language, going beyond broad generalizations to highlight the significance of local social interactions in determining linguistic practices. Eckert's approach digs into the complex interplay of various social factors, providing a thorough understanding of how language and gender are interconnected in certain contexts. In her other seminal work "Language and Gender" (co-authored with Sally McConnell-Ginet), Eckert analyzes how gender interacts with other social characteristics such as age, class, and social networks to determine linguistic behavior (McConnell-Ginet, S., & Eckert, 2003). They contend that language actions are not merely reflections of unchanging gender categories, but are dynamically influenced by a variety of social factors such as power dynamics, cultural norms, and human agency (Eckert & McConnell-Ginet, 2013). This provides a thorough framework for investigating the numerous contexts in which language and gender interact.

Furthermore, Deborah Tannen, who is well-known for her groundbreaking book "You Just Don't Understand: Women and Men in Conversation" (1990), contends that variations in men's and women's communication styles are mostly due to cultural differences, and she associates these disparities with the dynamics of cross-cultural communication. She contends that men and women approach conversation in different ways: males often seek status and independence through "report talk," which focuses on providing knowledge and asserting expertise in public situations. Women, on the other hand, frequently emphasize intimacy and connection with "rapport talk," which focuses on shared experiences and relationship development through empathetic listening and helpful gestures such as back-channeling. This viewpoint differs with Robin Lakoff's previous interpretation of women's essentially inferior language, which reframed communication gaps as cultural variations rather than signs of hierarchical power dynamics. Tannen's research presents numerous instances, including

disparities in interrupting behavior (men establish dominance, whilst women demonstrate participation) and misinterpretations in professional contexts due to contrasting communication styles (Tannen, 1993). Tannen advocates for a more sophisticated understanding of gender communication that promotes empathy, mutual respect, and productive discourse in a variety of social circumstances (Tannen, 1994).

Research Proliferation in Gender Studies and Diversity of Approaches in Discourse Analysis

The term "discourse" is a topic of debate among scholars, who have varied definitions for it. Some definitions go beyond focusing solely on language (Bucholtz, 2003). In the field of linguistics, the primary understanding of discourse is a formal one, based on the way the discipline is structured into several levels of linguistic components, such as phonology, morphology, and syntax (Bucholtz, 2003; Rahman, 2023; Johnstone & Andrus, 2024).

Over the past few decades, there has been significant development and variety in the field of research focused on language, discourse, and gender. These works utilize many approaches for analyzing discourse, including critical discourse analysis (CDA), multimodal analysis, and feminist discourse analysis. The primary objective of this research is to comprehend how gender constructs are formed and impacted by speech, notwithstanding the diverse range of methodologies employed. In order to comprehend this intricacy, it is crucial to examine the primary methodologies in discourse analysis and their relevance to the field of language and gender studies. The wide range of techniques in discourse analysis within language and gender studies makes it difficult to offer a full evaluation. Each approach is characterized by distinct theoretical assumptions, methodologies, and areas of attention. Hence, consolidating all facets of discourse analysis as a technique for investigating language and gender proves to be a challenging endeavor.

Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA)

Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) examines the ways in which language and communication contribute to the creation and perpetuation of power dynamics and social disparities (Fairclough, 2013). Within the realm of gender, CDA is frequently employed to examine media texts, political speeches, and institutional practices that perpetuate gender stereotypes and disparities. For instance, research could investigate the portrayal of women in traditional roles by the media or the marginalization of women's voices in political debate.

Multimodal Discourse analysis

This approach expands upon conventional discourse analysis by incorporating many modalities of communication, including visual representations, auditory elements, and non-verbal cues (O'Halloran, 2011). Within the field of language and gender studies, multimodal discourse analysis is employed to examine the ways in which visual and aural representations play a role in shaping gender identities. For instance, examining television advertising or films might uncover the synergistic relationship between images and sounds in constructing and perpetuating gender stereotypes.

Feminist Discourse Analysis

Feminist discourse analysis aims to uncover and confront the methods by which language and speech suppress or stifle women. This method frequently centers on the experiences and perspectives of women, and explores how they might be empowered through the alteration of discourse (Lazar, 2014). For instance, research could examine women's personal narratives regarding their encounters with gender discrimination or violence, with the objective of emphasizing and questioning repressive social frameworks (Lazar, 2007).

Language and gender research have evolved into a more nuanced examination of discourse and gender. The growth of research in this field makes it difficult to obtain a thorough evaluation because the methodologies employed are so varied. Discourse analysis, in its various forms, is a useful technique for understanding how gender conceptions shape and impact language. However, the variety of techniques implies that no single strategy can address all facets of this relationship.

The study of language and gender has progressed from early inquiries into linguistic variations between men and women to a more nuanced understanding of how discourse forms and structures gender perception. Given its intricacy, this study poses three significant inquiries.

- (1) How do mass media discourse practices shape societal gender preconceptions and representations?
- (2) How does people' language in everyday interactions reinforce or challenge established gender norms?
- (3) How do technology and social media shape and distribute gender-related discourses, and what are the implications for gender equality?

These inquiries seek to investigate the numerous ways in which language and discourse not only reflect, but also affect, gender attitudes in different social contexts.

Method

This study analyzes key theoretical frameworks and discussions in order to obtain a thorough knowledge of how language and gender perceptions interact. The research investigates how socio-cultural changes have influenced gendered language use throughout time, tracing its historical development. By reviewing key sociolinguistic theories, including the work of scholars such as Simone de Beauvoir, Deborah Tannen, Penelope Eckert, and Robin Lakoff, as well as feminist linguistic theory and its critique of traditional language structures, the paper considers a nexus approach that addresses how race, class, and sexuality intersect with gender in language use (Cameron, 1998a; Cameron, 1998b). In the perspective of language as a tool for gender creation, the paper looks at how language not only reflects but also shapes gender identity.

Discourse practices that sustain or challenge existing gender norms are thoroughly examined, including the impact of language in creating perceptions of gender roles in a variety

of social situations such as mass media, school, and the workplace. Furthermore, communication styles commonly associated with different genders are compared and contrasted, with a discussion of their implications for power dynamics and social interaction, as well as an examination of stereotypes and biases associated with gender-based communication.

The analysis also assesses the impact of language rules on gender equality and explores efforts to promote gender-neutral or inclusive language. Challenges and opposition to adopting these modifications in various language and cultural contexts are also examined. A major focus is on the ways in which mass media discourse practices develop gender stereotypes and representations in society, as well as their impact on public perceptions. Furthermore, the research investigates how technology and social media form and transmit gender-related discourses, assessing the implications for gender equality in the digital world. Case examples that demonstrate the relationship of language and gender in specific circumstances are offered, together with a summary of empirical study findings on gendered language use and perception. The paper also discusses methodological approaches to studying language and gender, identifies gaps in the current literature, suggests areas for future research, examines the impact of new technologies and digital communication on language and gender perceptions, and discusses the role of linguistic innovation in promoting greater gender equality.

Result and Discussion

How media discourse practices shape societal gender preconceptions and representations

Several research have shown that mass media discourse practices have a substantial impact on social gender stereotypes and representations. Finamore and Carvalho (2006) investigate the link between women's political roles and media impact, arguing that gender discourse modulates this relationship. They argue that prejudices, such as "a woman's place is at home," impede women's political engagement and highlight the need for a shift in public beliefs to improve the situation. Similarly, Andini et al. (2023) look at gender representation in an EFL textbook used in Indonesian senior high schools. Their findings show a considerable bias and imbalance, with males dominating graphic, passage, conversation, and exercise texts. Further, it is found that males are frequently connected with better education and expertise, whilst females are linked to traditional society ideals centered on social position and looks. In other case, Canale & Furtado (2021) examined how gender is portrayed in EFL textbooks used in basic EFL classrooms in Montevideo, Uruguay, and how these portrayals are discussed and interpreted by students. Thus, people's understanding of gender norms is eventually shaped by this difference, which contributes to the perpetuation of gender stereotypes.

Further, Rahman et al. (2024) used CDA to study the intricate links between power dynamics, gender disparities, and ideological influence on media during the Taliban regime. The article reported that female journalists experience substantial restrictions, such as limited access to information and cases of intimidation. It also examines how language is used to support or challenge these power structures. The findings show the Taliban's efforts to impose outmoded ideas on women in the media, offering insights into contemporary discourse practices and potential solutions for modern journalism. This disparity fosters gender stereotypes and

shapes people's understanding of gender norms.

Furthermore, Kiprotich and Chang'orok's (2017) study explored how gender stereotypes emerge and manifest in a variety of contexts, including the workplace, social settings, media, literature, and home settings. They emphasize how stereotypes, which frequently portray women as the weaker sex and men as strong providers, influence society roles and places. These preconceptions are impacted by criteria such as race, age, nationality, social status, and educational level, and they are reinforced by cultural and socioeconomic characteristics depicted in the media. Meanwhile, Yuzar et al. (2023) conducted a study on gender discussions and debates on social media, analyzing linguistic patterns through content analysis. They retrieved tweets using hashtags such as #womenempowerment, #feminist, #menempowerment, and #malechauvinist, illustrating the complexity of language use in these interchanges. Further, the study delves into gender representation and equality, providing useful information for navigating the changing terrain of gendered discourse on social media.

The use of terms and phrases that propagate harmful stereotypes can lead to an increase in the number of cases involving gender prejudice in the headlines of popular news stories (Rozado et al., 2023; Zurriyati et al., 2023; Sunderland, 2020). For example, words like "forced," "rotated," and "worked on" used in stories discussing violence against women might reinforce the portrayal of women as passive victims (Alam, 2020). Additionally, advertisements in mass media generally include a greater percentage of male characters, whereas women are typically presented in their twenties and thirties, with a focus on their attractiveness or physical appearance (Berger, 2020; Varghese & Kumar, 2022). This disparity can strengthen traditional gender roles and contribute to gender prejudice. Women frequently appear in conventionalized roles associated with beauty and fashion, whereas men occupy a wider range of roles, resulting in their underrepresentation and the perpetuation of gender stereotypes (Davis, 2019). Further, there is also a lack of women in prominent positions in the cinema and television industry in the past, resulting in a higher proportion of male characters compared to female characters (Wood, 1994). This imbalance can perpetuate traditional gender norms and reinforce negative stereotypes.

These studies demonstrate the ways in which media perpetuate gender stereotypes and shape societal norms on gender roles. Implementing gender-sensitive media provisions and training initiatives are crucial in addressing gender-based stereotypes in the media (Yusuf, 2023). These efforts (policy) play a vital role in promoting gender equality and fostering more inclusive and balanced representations (Padovani & Bozzon, 2020). Initiating changes in language usage and gender portrayal in media, advertising, and education should commence by eradicating language that perpetuates gender stereotypes and depicts women as submissive or objectified. There is a need for greater inclusivity and fairness in news, advertisements and textbooks to prevent the perpetuation of outdated gender stereotypes. These reforms have the potential to build a more equal and more comprehensive public perception, ultimately resulting in the complete acknowledgment of women's capabilities and contributions in all areas of life.

Reinforcing and Challenging Established Gender Norms

Gender norms refer to the societal expectations and views on the appropriate behavior and roles for individuals, which are determined by their perceived sexual orientation. These norms are intricately woven into cultural, social, and institutional frameworks, impacting several aspects ranging from individual self-identification to career prospects. Language frequently reflects and strengthens gender norms in society by employing gender-specific terms. For instance, some occupations or activities may have a stronger correlation with one gender over the other, resulting in the utilization of gender-specific language that reinforces prejudices regarding the roles and capabilities of males and females. Utilizing gender-equitable language can effectively challenge conventional gender norms, such as refraining from making comparisons that elevate males as the ideal standard and eliminating any gender-specific references in professional duties (Formanowicz & Hansen, 2022). For example, using gender-neutral terminology, such as 'police officer' instead of 'policeman', can effectively eradicate explicit gender bias. Language frequently mirrors cultural conventions, yet individuals have the ability to embrace linguistic patterns and conversational approaches that defy conventional rules. This exemplifies the fluid and socially created characteristics of language and identity, enabling the questioning of established gender conventions. Individuals have the ability to use language change as a potent means to dismantle gender stereotypes and advance equality in society.

In school settings, the language choices made by teachers have a considerable influence on gender norms (Maharani, 2022). Teachers frequently employ language patterns and speech styles that mirror and uphold conventional gender standards. Men may employ language as a means of asserting their status and independence, whilst women may utilize it to exhibit connection and intimacy. Teachers may exhibit a bias towards males by posing more questions to them and providing them with additional opportunity to participate, so supporting the conventional perception of men as authoritative and forceful. Female educators frequently utilize a greater number of referential inquiries and expressions of approval, while employing less direct commands. In contrast, men educators tend to employ more competitive approaches within the classroom. This phenomenon promotes gender stereotypes and exerts impact on student behavior and self-perception. Thus, it is crucial to confront these biases in order to advance gender equality and create a learning environment that is more inclusive.

Therefore, to reinforce this, epidemiological methodologies can assist in distinguishing the effects of language from other cultural and environmental factors (Merrill, 2024). Researchers can analyze the language patterns of migrants and compare their labor market outcomes to determine the direct influence of language structure on gender norms and behaviors (Bleakley and Chen 2010; Hicks et al. 2015). The aforementioned studies facilitated a more profound comprehension of how language influences gender roles and contributes to the development of more efficient strategies to mitigate gender disparities that originate from linguistic variations.

The reinforcement and adherence to gender norms have serious effects for both individuals and society. Reinforcing gender norms may restrict personal autonomy and restrict one's potential. People may feel pressured to conform to societal norms, even if these

norms do not align with their personal interests or abilities. These factors can lead to gender bias, inequality in opportunities, and mental health issues. Reinforcing and questioning established gender norms are ongoing processes that reflect and impact cultural values and behavior change (Stewart et al., 2021). Although following to established gender norms may limit personal and professional opportunities, challenging and defying these norms can lead to greater equality and inclusion. Individuals and organizations can contribute significantly to the creation of a fair and progressive society through extensive study and actively engaging with these established norms.

How Do Technology and Social Media Shape and Propagate Gendered Discourses

Technology and social media have a crucial role in shaping and disseminating discussions linked to gender, impacting the way gender norms are created, strengthened, and questioned. Social media can exert peer group influence, especially on boys, to conform to particular notions of masculinity, such as engaging in risky behavior and emphasizing physical attractiveness. Social media platforms such as Instagram and Snapchat frequently perpetuate gender-conforming body ideals, resulting in body image anxieties among both males and females. Users, particularly females, often manipulate their photographs to adhere to prevailing beauty norms in society. Young people who use social media are more likely to have traditional and stereotypical beliefs about gender roles, and this will increase the utilization of platforms like Instagram and YouTube, which are linked to the adoption of conventional perspectives on gender roles. (Swart, 2023).

Users on social media actively engage in the performance of their identities, both reinforcing and questioning established and evolving gender standards, within the context of developing discourses. The result of this can be the development of novel standards for communication and conduct (Majumdar et al., 2022). On social media, the choice of relevant terms and language can serve two purposes: consolidating and mobilizing. Users employ these strategies to participate in gender activism and resistance.

Social media platforms offer a venue for individuals to express and portray themselves in various ways, including their gender and political beliefs. Nevertheless, the existence of traditional gender norms frequently restricts the extent of diversity. Initiatives and initiatives like "Mein Testgelände" and "Genderdings" promote gender equality and diversity by encouraging young individuals to create their own content on gender-related topics (Goetz & Prommer, 2020). Constant exposure to idealized body ideals and gender norms on social media can have detrimental effects on the emotional well-being of girls and young women. Media literacy and education are necessary to assist young users in comprehending and analytically interacting with gender-related content on social media (Swart, 2023; Cho et al., 2024). This can encompass advocating for body acceptance and inclusive portrayals of gender. Consequently, it is imperative to establish stringent legal regulations in order to effectively oversee social media content and safeguard consumers against detrimental and gender-biased material. This can facilitate the promotion of a more secure and all-encompassing online milieu.

Peer influence generates a substantial impact on the formation of gender norms on social media platforms. Peer groups uphold and strengthen conventional gender identities and

behaviors, hence reinforcing gender stereotypes and inequity. This phenomenon is especially noticeable during early childhood, when children start to separate themselves based on gender and subsequently acquire specific interests and behaviors (Golshirazian et al., 2015). Online interactions are regulated by the use of social incentives, exclusion, and the establishment and violation of rules. Adolescents frequently distribute content that conforms to the expectations of their social groups, resulting in guys exchanging perspectives on masculinity that are linked to behaviors like risk-taking, physical appearance, and virility.

Meanwhile, online feedback, especially from peers, can exert additional pressure to adhere to gender stereotypes. Boys may receive "rewards" for demonstrating their masculinity through information that showcases risky activity or a strong physique (Connell, 2001; Al Falaq & Puspita, 2021). Social media platforms offer a platform for individuals to express a wide range of gender identities and political perspectives related to gender. Nevertheless, the existence of various perspectives and backgrounds is frequently constrained by the widespread influence of conventional gender stereotypes. Although social media has the potential to strengthen conventional gender norms, it also offers an opportunity to challenge and oppose these standards by showcasing a variety of representations and engaging in active identity expression. Promoting gender equality and fostering healthy online interactions require the essential measures of enhancing media literacy and enforcing legal precautions.

Conclusion

This study emphasizes the importance of discourse analysis in the field of gender studies, specifically how language shapes and influences society's perceptions on gender. Abundant evidence demonstrates that the media has a significant impact on establishing gender stereotypes, which in turn create societal standards concerning gender roles. This emphasizes the importance of establishing media laws and implementing gender-sensitive policies. These implementations are critical for confronting and shattering deeply held stereotypes, as well as promoting gender equality and encouraging more inclusive and equitable depictions.

Conforming rigidly to established gender norms can have serious effects for individuals and society as a whole. It frequently restricts individual autonomy and stifles potential because people may feel obligated to conform to cultural norms that do not reflect their particular inclinations or abilities. This imbalance can result in gender bias, unequal opportunity, and unfavorable mental health outcomes. As a result, the continuous reinforcement and evaluation of established gender norms are dynamic processes that both reflect and shape cultural values and institutions. Adopting a critical approach to these ideals can help create greater equality and inclusion. Individuals and organizations can considerably advance the advancement of a fair and progressive society by conducting rigorous investigations and deliberately questioning accepted norms.

Although social media is frequently criticized for reinforcing traditional gender roles, it also has the potential to challenge and oppose these standards by providing a diverse range of representations and encouraging active self-expression. The simultaneous function of social media in this respect underscores the importance of increasing media literacy and

enacting legal safeguards in order to advance gender equality and foster positive online engagements. Individuals can use media literacy programs to engage in critical analysis and questioning of the content they receive, reducing the influence of negative stereotypes. Furthermore, introducing legislative safeguards can ensure that media outlets are held accountable for supporting inclusive and fair content. Conforming to established gender standards has a substantial impact, as it can limit personal and professional opportunities. Nonetheless, rejecting and disregarding these established norms can have significant societal benefits, such as increased equity and inclusiveness. Individuals and organizations can help to establish a culture that values diversity and equality by actively participating and doing extensive research. By using social media to challenge traditional gender stereotypes and lobbying for educational initiatives that increase media literacy, society can move towards a more equitable and balanced future. Using a diverse strategy is critical for removing harmful stereotypes and cultivating a culture that values diversity and inclusion in all aspects.

References

- Al Falaq, J. S., & Puspita, D. (2021). Critical Discourse Analysis: Revealing Masculinity Through L-Men Advertisement. *Linguistics and Literature Journal*, 2(1), 62-68. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33365/lj.v2i1.764>
- Alam, R. F. (2020). Gender Bias In News Reports A Case Of Reporting Affair Presented By Detik. Com And Nova. Grid. Id (Bias Gender Dalam Teks Berita Studi Tentang Pemberitaan Kasus Perselingkuhan Yang Ditampilkan Oleh Detik. Com Dan Nova. Grid. Id). *Jurnal Gramatika: Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra Indonesia*, 6(1), 1-12.
- Andini, T. N., Hidayat, D. N., Husna, N., & Alek, A. (2023). A Critical Discourse Analysis of Gender Representations in EFL Textbook of Senior High School. *Getsempena English Education Journal*, 10(1), 55-72.
- Berger, A. A. (2020). *Ads, fads, and consumer culture: Advertising's impact on American character and society*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Bleakley, H., & Chin, A. (2010). Age at arrival, English proficiency, and social assimilation among US immigrants. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2(1), 165-192.
- Bucholtz, M. (2003). Theories of discourse as theories of gender: Discourse analysis in language and gender studies. *The handbook of language and gender*, 43-68.
- Bucholtz, M., & Miles-Hercules, D. (2021). The displacement of race in language and gender studies. *Gender and Language*, 15(3), 414-422.
- Cameron, D. (1998b). Gender, language, and discourse: A review essay. *Signs: Journal of Women in culture and society*, 23(4), 945-973.
- Cameron, D. (Ed.). (1998a). *The feminist critique of language: A reader*. Psychology Press.
- Canale, G., & Furtado, V. (2021). Gender in EFL education: Negotiating textbook discourse in the classroom. *Changing English*, 28(1), 58-71. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1358684X.2020.1812377>

- Cho, H., Cannon, J., Lopez, R., & Li, W. (2024). Social media literacy: A conceptual framework. *New media & society*, 26(2), 941-960. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211068530>
- Connell, R. W. (2001). Studying men and masculinity. *Resources for feminist research*, 43-57.
- Crawford, E. (2013). *Hiding In Plain Sight: the Rhetorical Workings of Simone De Beauvoir's Feminist Language* (Doctoral dissertation, University of South Carolina).
- Davis, G. (2019, November). What 2.7M YouTube ads reveal about gender bias in marketing. Think with Google. <https://www.thinkwithgoogle.com/intl/en-apac/future-of-marketing/management-and-culture/diversity-and-inclusion/gender-representation-media-bias/>
- De Beauvoir, S. (2023). The second sex. In *Social theory re-wired* (pp. 346-354). Routledge.
- Eckert, P. (2014). Language and gender in adolescence. *The handbook of language, gender, and sexuality*, 529-545.
- Eckert, P. (2017). Age as a sociolinguistic variable. *The handbook of sociolinguistics*, 151-167.
- Eckert, P., & McConnell-Ginet, S. (2013). *Language and gender*. Cambridge University Press.
- Fairclough, N. (2013). *Critical discourse analysis*. In *The Routledge handbook of discourse analysis* (pp. 9-20). Routledge.
- Finamore, C. M., & Carvalho, J. E. C. D. (2006). Women candidates: the relationships between gender, media and discourse. *Estudos Feministas*, 2(SE), 0-0.
- Formanowicz, M., & Hansen, K. (2022). Subtle linguistic cues affecting gender in (equality). *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 41(2), 127-147. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X21103517>
- Goetz, M., & Prommer, E. (2020). Geschlechterstereotype und soziale Medien: Eine Analyse der Darstellung von Geschlechterrollen in sozialen Netzwerken. Retrieved from <https://genderopen.de/bitstream/handle/25595/2388/goetz-maya-prommer-elizabeth-geschlechterstereotype-und-soziale-medien-data.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
- Golshirazian, S., Dhillon, M., Maltz, S., Payne, K. E., & Rabow, J. (2015). The effect of peer groups on gender identity and expression. *International Journal of Research*, 9.
- Hicks, D. L., Santacreu-Vasut, E., & Shoham, A. (2015). Does mother tongue make for women's work? Linguistics, household labor, and gender identity. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 110, 19-44. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2014.11.010>
- Hutchings, K. (2009). Simone de Beauvoir. In *Critical Theorists and International Relations* (pp. 80-90). Routledge.
- Johnstone, B., & Andrus, J. (2024). *Discourse analysis*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Kiprotich, A., & Chang'orok, D. J. (2017). Gender communication stereotypes: A depiction of the mass media. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 20(11), 69-77.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9790/0837-201156977>

- Lakoff, R. (1973). Language and woman's place. *Language in society*, 2(1), 45-79.
- Lakoff, R. (2003). Language, gender, and politics: Putting “women” and “power” in the same sentence. *The handbook of language and gender*, 78, 161-78.
- Lazar, M. M. (2007). *Feminist critical discourse analysis: Articulating a feminist discourse praxis*. *Critical discourse studies*, 4(2), 141-164.
- Lazar, M. M. (2014). *Feminist critical discourse analysis*. *The handbook of language, gender, and sexuality*, 180-199.
- Maharani, A. A. P. (2020). An anthropological linguistic study: language and gender in EFL classroom. *Jurnal Santiaji Pendidikan (Jsp)*, 10(1). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36733/jsp.v10i1.698>
- Majumdar, S., Tewatia, M., Jamkhedkar, D., & Bhatia, K. (2022). “You Don’t Know Me so Don’t Try to Judge Me”: Gender and Identity Performance on Social Media Among Young Indian Users. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 855947. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.855947>
- McConnell-Ginet, S., & Eckert, P. (2003). *Language and gender* (pp. 75-99). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Merrill, R. M. (2024). *Introduction to epidemiology*. Jones & Bartlett Learning.
- Methven, E. (2020). ‘A Woman’s Tongue’: Representations of Gender and Swearing in Australian Legal and Media Discourse. *Australian Feminist Law Journal*, 46(1), 57-81. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13200968.2020.1820747>
- O’Halloran, K. L. (2011). *Multimodal discourse analysis*. *The Bloomsbury handbook of discourse analysis*, 249-282.
- Padovani, C., & Bozzon, R. (2020). Media gender equality regimes: Exploring media organizations’ policy adoption across nations. In *Comparing Gender and Media Equality Across the Globe A Cross-National Study of the Qualities, Causes, and Consequences of Gender Equality in and through the News Media* (pp. 99-144). Nordicom. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.48335/9789188855329-3>
- Rahman, F. (2023). *What We Talk About When We Talk About Language And Philosophy*. Deepublish.
- Rahman, F., Zanzibar, Z., Yuzar, E., & Sari, D. D. (2024). Gendered Power Struggles: A Critical Discourse Analysis of Restrictive Practice in Contemporary Journalism Under Taliban Regime. *Tribakti: Jurnal Pemikiran Keislaman*, 35(1), 23-36. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33367/tribakti.v35i1.4418>
- Rozado, D., Al-Gharbi, M., & Halberstadt, J. (2023). Prevalence of prejudice-denoting words in news media discourse: A chronological analysis. *Social Science computer review*, 41(1), 99-122. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439321103145>
- Stewart, R., Wright, B., Smith, L., Roberts, S., & Russell, N. (2021). Gendered stereotypes and norms: A systematic review of interventions designed to shift attitudes and behaviour. *Heliyon*, 7(4). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06660>
- Sunderland, J. (2020). Gender, language and prejudice: Implicit sexism in the discourse of

- Boris Johnson. *Open linguistics*, 6(1), 323-333. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/opli-2020-0022>
- Svendsen, A. D. (2019). Lakoff and women's language: A critical overview of the empirical evidence for Lakoff's thesis. *Leviathan: Interdisciplinary Journal in English*, (4), 1-11. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7146/lev.v0i4.112651>
- Swart, J. (2023). Tactics of news literacy: How young people access, evaluate, and engage with news on social media. *New Media & Society*, 25(3), 505-521. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211011447>
- Tannen, D. (1990). *You Just Don't Understand: Women and Men in Conversation*. New York: William Morrow.
- Tannen, D. (1994). *Gender and discourse*. Oxford University Press.
- Tannen, D. (Ed.). (1993). *Gender and conversational interaction*. Oxford University Press.
- Varghese, N., & Kumar, N. (2022). Feminism in advertising: irony or revolution? A critical review of femvertising. *Feminist Media Studies*, 22(2), 441-459. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2020.1825510>
- Wood, J. T. (1994). Gendered media: The influence of media on views of gender. *Gendered lives: Communication, gender, and culture*, 9, 231-244.
- Yusuf, I. A. (2023). Gender-Sensitive Reporting Principles: A Content Analysis of Violence Against Women and Girls in Indonesian Mainstream Newspaper Coverage. *Journalism Practice*, 17(1), 150-173. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2022.2037452>
- Yuzar, E., Rahman, F., Sari, D. D., & Zanzibar, Z. (2023). Unmasking Gendered Language Patterns in Social Media Discourse. *Saree: Research in Gender Studies*, 5(2), 103-118. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47766/saree.v5i2.1822>
- Zurriyati, Rahman, F., & H. Alaqad, M. (2023). Language and Power : How News Media Construct a Biased Structure of Information in Public Discourse during the Presidential Election. *Malikussaleh Social and Political Reviews (MSPR)*, 4(1), 12-18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29103/mspr.v4i1.11031>